NAS- 105 "ANS-ENTEREU"

FINAL REPORT

MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

and

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION

1990-91

ENGINEERING ENRICHMENT PROGRAM

(NASA-CR-193740) THE 1990-1991 ENGINEERING ENRICHMENT PROGRAM Final Report (Morgan State Univ.) 12 p

N94-70694

unclas

29/80 0181252

Submitted b y Morgan State University School of Engineering

Table of Contents

- (I) Introduction
- (II) Program Objectives
- (III) Program Implementations
 - 1. Selection Process
 - 2. Placement
- (IV) Academic Program
 - 1. Curriculum
 - 2. Faculty & Staff
 - 3. Study Session
 - 4. Discipline
- (V) Activities
- (VI) Evaluation of Program
 - 1. Week by week observation
 - 2. Overall results
- (VII) Projected Goals/ Conclusion

Introduction

Morgan State University and the National Aeronautics Space Administration proudly continued the NASA/Morgan Engineering Enrichment Program in the Summer of 1991. Although this Program has ran successfully for seven (7) consecutive summers, the Summer of 1991 marked the beginning of changes and These changes were made in many areas, ranging additions. from program implementation to academic curriculum. One The of the few additions included motivational sessions. changes and additions were made in order to better achieve the goals and objectives of the program. These changes and additions will be elaborated upon were appropriate.

Program Objectives

The main objectives of the NASA/MORGAN ENGINEERING ENRICHMENT PROGRAM are:

- o to increase the number of minorities interested in engineering and science, and
- o to assist those students in their transition from student to engineer.

The former will be achieved by providing the students with an opportunity to take part in many college engineering experiences, and by exposing the students to the industrial engineering environment. The exposure will take the form of field trips to government and private industrial agencies, and presentations given by engineers working in nearby The latter will be achieved by first introducing qualified high school graduates to a college engineering environment. This introduction will consist of a rigorous five week program designed to develop skills, such as, studying, test taking, and communicating, and to strengthen academic backgrounds, resulting in an increase rate of academic success in an engineering curriculum. This program will be administered during the summer, and upon successful completion of the program, the students will be admitted into the School of Engineering for the subsequent Fall From that point on, the students' progress will be semester. monitored, and counseling, tutoring and mentoring will be provided when applicable. Furthermore, the students will be assisted in seeking internships with federal and private These internships will further increase the agencies. interest and motivation of the students.

Program Implementation

1. SELECTION PROCESS

One of the changes made this year was in the number of students selected into the program. As apposed to twenty students in the previous years, thirty-four high school graduates were screened and selected to enter this years' Many of the selected students were recruited from high schools along the east coast. The program was publicized to these schools by visitation from Morgan In addition, informational brochures applications were sent to inquiring students and high school The faculty members aided in the process faculty members. by identifying possible candidates. These possible candidates had to meet minimum requirements represented by a grade point average (GPA) of 3.0 and a combined SAT score of 900. Special consideration, however, was given to those students with good performance in Physics and/or Chemistry, and good recommendations from three high school faculty Of the students accepted, the average SAT and members. GPA scores were 872 and B respectively, with an average Math SAT of 469. Table 1 lists these scores for the accepted students.

Table 1: Listing of each students' high school average grade and SAT scores.

| NAMES | CITY | H.S. GRADE | SAT(V | M | T) | SEX | |
|---------------|------|------------|-------|---------|-----|------|---|
| E. Bailey | MD | GED | | 380 | 420 | 800 | M |
| K. Baltimore | MD | В | | 360 | 520 | 880 | F |
| J. Berry | PA | В | | 440 | 410 | 850 | M |
| S. Bin-Yusif | NY | В+ | | 400 | 580 | 980 | M |
| W. Brown | MD | В | | 320 | 390 | 710 | M |
| P. Choe | MD | B+ | | 280 | 510 | 790 | F |
| S. Contee | MD | B+ | | 510 | 520 | 1030 | F |
| R. Cordova | MD | B+ | | 440 | 450 | 890 | F |
| M. Cunninghan | nDE | B+ | | 520 | 470 | 990 | F |
| D. Davenport | MD | C+ | | 410 | 460 | 870 | M |
| D. Davis | MD | В | | 330 | 450 | 780 | M |
| D. Downs | MD | B+ | | 380 | 620 | 1000 | M |
| D. Fennoy | MD | C+ | | 310 | 350 | 800 | M |
| C. Green | OR | В | | 310 | 390 | 700 | F |
| D. Herring | MD | C+ | | 420 | 370 | 790 | M |
| T. Jefferies | MD | C+ | | 350 | 490 | 840 | M |
| J. Jemmott | MD | B+ | | 340 | 440 | 780 | M |
| D. Jones | MD | C+ | | 440 | 510 | 950 | M |
| T. Kelly | MD | B+ | | ACT 22= | | 1040 | F |
| E. Kim | MD | B+ | | 270 | 470 | 740 | F |
| P. Leighton | MD | В | | 340 | 420 | 760 | M |
| M. Lynch | MD | В | | 330 | 460 | 950 | M |
| N. Maddox | DC | В | | 410 | 500 | 910 | F |
| K. Merchant | MD | В | | 380 | 470 | 790 | M |
| A. Payne | DC | C+ | | 470 | 410 | 880 | M |
| T. Perry | MD | В | | 330 | 460 | 790 | F |
| M. Pompey | NY | B+ | | 300 | 480 | 780 | F |
| A. Pounds | TX | B+ | | ACT 20= | | 940 | F |
| J. Richardson | MD | B+ | | 430 | 390 | 900 | M |
| S. Smith | PA | В | | 310 | 540 | 850 | F |
| V. White | VA | C+ | | 550 | 550 | 1100 | M |
| T. Williams | MD | В | | ACT 2 | 0= | 940 | F |

2. PLACEMENT

The students admitted into the program were given a placement examination in Math. The results were used to show the students' competence. Those with high scores on the exam were placed in a Calculus I level; whereas, the lower scoring students were placed in Pre-Calculus level. Note, however, in the Calculus level the students progressed at an acceelerated pace. The students at the Pre-Calculus level received an thorough review of the fundamentals before progressing into calculus topics. The remaining courses were taken by everyone.

Academic Program

1. CIRRICULUM

The academic curriculum was designed to strengthen the students background and initially expose the students to the courses that would be taken in the first two semesters. This curriculum consisted of the following courses:

Calculus/Pre-Calculus,
English 101,
Chemistry,
Physics,
Introduction to Computers, and
Introduction to Engineering.

Figure 1 shows a sample of how these courses were scheduled throughout the week.

There was a time period in the schedule which was labled speaker where during that time people from upperclassmen students up to real professional engineers came to speak to the students on their jobs and or experiebnces moving up the ladder. During Introduction to Engineering time the students were working on a group project which this year was a solar car. The car project was completed on time and recieved a lot of publicity. On some occasions, field trips were planned, such as the trip to NASA GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER.

Figure 1: Sample of weekly course schedule.

| | MONDAY | TUESDAY | WEDNESDAY | THURSDAY | FRIDAY |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 7:00-8:20 | BREAKFAST | BREAKFAST | BREAKFAST | BREAKFAST | BREAKFAST |
| 8:30-9:30 | CAL | CHEM | CAL | CHEM | CAL |
| 9:30-10:25 | CAL | CHEM | CAL | CHEM | CAL |
| 10:30-11:25 | COMPUTERS | ENGLISH | COMPUTERS | ENGLISH | COMPUTERS |
| 11:30-12:50 | LUNCH | LUNCH | LUNCH | LUNCH | LUNCH |
| 1:00-1:25 | COMPUTERS | SPEAKER | COMPUTERS | SPEAKERS | COMPUTERS |
| 1:30-2:30 | INTRO ENG | PHYSICS | INTRO ENG | PHYSICS | INTRO ENG |
| 2:30-3:30 | INTRO ENG | PHYSICS | INTRO ENG | PHYSICS | INTRO ENG |
| 3:30-4:55 | INTRO ENG | SPEAKERS | INTRO ENG | SPEAKERS | INTRO ENG |
| 5:00-6:00 | DINNER | DINNER | DINNER | DINNER | DINNER |
| 6:20-7:30 | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY |
| 7:30-8:30 | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY |
| 8:30-9:30 | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY | STUDY |
| 9:30-10:30 | PRE-CAL | PRE-CAL | PRE-CAL | PRE-CAL | PRE-CAL |

2. FACULTY & STAFF

The staff required for the implementation of the NASA/Morgan Engineering Enrichment Program and their duties are listed below:

Program Director:

Overall coordinator of the program. Recruits and selects participants. In cooperation with the teaching faculty, develops academic curriculum. Counsels students periodically. Selects and obtains speakers.

Assistant Director:

A student who organizes program coordination. Compiles the list of student attending the program. Acts as liaison between the teaching faculty and the Program Director. Prepares required reports. Assigns schedule for tutors. Prepares weekly progress reports.

Secretary:

Handles all correspondences. Maintains files. Arranges meetings. Arranges transportation as required. Provides other secretarial functions.

Teaching Faculty:

Unlike previous years, all the courses except Intro to Computers, were taught by university professors. Intro to Computers was taught by an upperclass engineering student. Prepeares and presents subject matter. Assigns homework problems. Prepares progress reports for the students.

Tutors:

Responsible for aiding students during study hours. Grads completed home assignments. Maintains students' notebooks.

The Tutors were the most important part of the staff. They were responsible for helping students in all class homeworks, reinforced the days lecture, and graded homeworks. Through the study sessions the Tutors helped the program to end in five weeks. They were for an average of four hours a day during the week and usually nine hours on the weekends for study sessions.

The Dormitory Aides were also tutors, but they were used to suppervise the students whenever they were out of class, at study session or in the dormitories. the counselors worked as a link between the students and the Director. Their responsibilities were to dissolve any potential problems that the student might have during the five week period.

The faculty responsibility included preparing and presenting subject matter to the students and working along with the Tutors to help advise the students. They were required to give all daily quizzes as well as weekly exams to one of the tutors so that the grades could be recorded andf ther papers returned. The Faculty was also required to come to any meetings that the Directore might call regarding them and their classes.

3. STUDY SESSION

The study session portion of the program entailed strengthening the student's background

3. CHANGES

Unlike last year and the year before thirty-four students were selected for the program. The students were given twety-five homework problems per night and they were completed that night during study session. Unlike last years program where the problems weren't due until the next day of the class. In the grading process, the students didn't get any patial credit for the work they completed, it was either totally right or totally wrong, whether it was a test, a quizzes, homework, or classwork no partial credit was given. The students were also given weekly exams to help them learn better test taking skills as well as to learn the information better. This year the students had regular University Professors unlike the previos years where the teachers were upperclassmen. These new implementations helped to make a smoother running program and help to tighten the student bond.